



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,785	12/20/2000	William J. Curatolo	PC10755AJTJ	8464

7590 08/04/2005

Gregg C. Benson
Pfizer Inc.
Patent Department, MS 4159
Eastern Point Road
Groton, CT 06340

EXAMINER

FUBARA, BLESSING M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1618

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/742,785	CURATOLO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Blessing M. Fubara	1618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/11/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of amendment, remarks and IDS filed 05/11/05. Claims 1-15, 18-44, 47-72, 75-92, 95-102, 104-112, 115-122, 124-132 and 135-163 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The rejection under this section was not that term "solution" renders the claim unclear as applicants state and object, but that the relationship of part c to parts a and b of the claim taken as a whole with respect to the claimed aqueous solution formed in the use environment is confusing. It is respectfully noted that applicants' traversal does not address the rejection since the rejection is not that the term "solution" renders the claim unclear. It is also respectfully noted that applicants have not commented on Examiner's interpretation of the claims. However, the rejection of claims 146, 147, 151-155, 162 and 163 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is withdrawn in light of the traversal.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1, 2, 12-15, 18, 25-31, 41-44, 54-59, 69-72, 75, 82-87, 92, 95, 102, 104-107, 112, 115, 122, 124-127, 132, 135 and 142-145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyajima et al. (US 4,983,593)

Miyajima discloses a composition that comprises 5-(5,5-dimethyl-1,3,2-dioxaphosphorinane-2-yl)-1,4-dihydro-2,6-dimethyl-4-(3- itrophenyl)-3-pyridine carboxylic acid 2-(phenylmethyl)amino) ethyl ester P-oxide hydrochloride-thanol (NZ-105) and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose acetate succinate ("HPMCAS") and the composition can be mixed with fillers (sugars, e.g. lactose, sucrose, etc., glycitols, e.g. mannitol, sorbitol, xylitol,

Art Unit: 1618

etc., starches, e.g. corn starch, potato starch, wheat starch, rice starch, etc., crystalline cellulose, inorganic salts, e.g. calcium hydrogen phosphate anhydride, synthetic aluminum silicate) or disintegrants, binders, lubricants or other additives (abstract; column 2, lines 34-40; column 4, lines 16-46; and Examples 1-6). Miyajima's composition also contains urea or surface active agents (column 4, line 49) and is prepared by dissolving NZ-105 and HPMCAS in an organic solvent, removing the solvent by freeze drying, spray drying or vacuum drying (column 3, lines 55-65). NZ-105 is a drug and HPMCAS meets the limitation of the concentration-enhancing polymer since HPMCAS is one of the concentration enhancing polymers recited in the instant claims. Tablets and capsules are orally administered dosage forms,

According to paragraphs [0024], [0025] and [0026] of the published application, "solubility-improved form" is a "form of the drug which has increased solubility relative to the least soluble form of the drug known. Thus, the term implies that a less soluble form of the drug exists and is either known or has been determined, i.e., known, for example, from the scientific or patent literature, or determined by or otherwise known to the investigator. A "solubility-improved form" may consist of a **highly soluble form of the drug alone**, may be a composition comprising a **highly soluble form of the drug plus inert excipients**, or may be a composition comprising the drug in a **poorly or highly soluble form and one or more excipients which have the effect of increasing the solubility of the drug**, regardless of the length of time for which the solubility is increased. Examples of "solubility-improved forms" include but are not limited to: (1) a crystalline highly soluble form of the drug **such as a salt**; (2) a high-energy crystalline form of the drug; (3) a hydrate or solvate crystalline form of a drug; (4) an amorphous form of a drug (for a drug that may exist as either amorphous or crystalline); (5) a mixture of the

Art Unit: 1618

drug (amorphous or crystalline) and a solubilizing agent; or (6) a solution of the drug dissolved in an aqueous or organic liquid.”

“Alternatively, the term "solubility-improved form" refers to a form of the drug alone or in a composition as is described above that, when delivered to an in vivo environment of use (such as, for example, the gastrointestinal tract of a mammal) or a physiologically relevant in vitro solution (such as phosphate buffered saline or a Model Fasted Duodenal solution described below) provides, or is capable of providing, at least temporarily, a concentration of drug that is at least 1.25-fold the equilibrium concentration of drug in the use environment.”

“A solubility-improved form of a drug is one that meets at least one of the above definitions.”

While Miyajima does not describe HPMCAS as a concentration-enhancing polymer, the instant claims recite HPMCAS as one of the concentration enhancing polymers. Aqueous solubility of less than mg/ml is a property of the drug. No specific drug is recited in the instant claims. NZ-105 is a drug that is poorly soluble in water (column 1, lines 37-58). The method claims administer the drug composition. Miyajima also administers the composition.

2. Claims 1, 2, 12-15, 18, 25-31, 41-44, 54-59, 69-72, 75 and 82-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunn (US 4,461,759).

Dunn discloses a composition that comprises a composition that comprises varapamil and acid retardant cellulose derivative (abstract; column 3, lines 6-15) and when cellulose acetate phthalate is the acid retardant, the drug and the cellulose acetate phthalate and/or bulking or disintegrant agent are granulated (column 4, lines 30-35). Varapamil is poorly soluble in water.

Art Unit: 1618

See also claims 8 and 9. While Dunn does not describe cellulose acetate phthalate as a concentration-enhancing polymer, the instant claims recite cellulose acetate phthalate as one of the concentration enhancing polymers. Aqueous solubility of less than mg/ml is a property of the drug. No specific drug is recited in the instant claims.

3. Claims 1, 2, 12-15, 18, 25-31, 41-44, 47, 54-59, 69-72, 75, 82-87, 92, 95, 102, 104-107, 112, 115, 122, 125-127, 132, 135 and 142-145 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada et al. (US 5,496,561).

Okada discloses a controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising crystalline form of a drug (column 3, line 32); polymer such as hydroxypropylmethylcellulose acetate succinate, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate, cellulose acetate phthalate and carboxymethylethyl cellulose (column 3, lines 36-39, column 4, lines 20-25); plasticizers such as triethyl citrate, triacetin, polyethylene glycol, castor oil, polysorbitan monooleate, glycerine fatty acid ester (column 5, lines 5-8).

The instant application claims a composition that comprises a drug in a pharmaceutically acceptable solubility-improved form and a concentration-enhancing polymer is a salt and several examples of drugs that are suitable in the instant invention are listed in the specification (page 30, line 31 to page 31 line 5, page 35, line 13 to page 36 line 26 and page 26, line 30 to page 29 line 18). In the instant application, the recitation that the composition achieves a maximum equilibrium concentration of at least 1.25 fold of a drug ... is a property of the drug composition and property of a composition is not separable from the composition; and thus the composition of the prior art would inherently achieve said equilibrium concentration relative to the drug.

Art Unit: 1618

Instant claims 25-28, 30, 54-57 and 82 recite the property of the composition and the teaching of Okada meets the limitations of said claims; diclofenac, which is one of the drugs disclosed in Okada has analgesic, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic activities; and thus Okada meets the limitation of instant claim 29. The method of the instant claims administers the drug and the concentration-enhancing polymer and the prior art teaches administering the composition to a patient/subject in need thereof.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 05/11/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although Okada does not disclose examples of composition that contains CAP, it is respectfully noted that, a prior art does not have to exemplify all the different embodiments. There is however a disclosure of CAP with a drug. Specifically, page 10, line 23 to page 11, line 12 of the instant specification and paragraph [0029] of the published application states that combination "as used herein means that the solubility-improved form and concentration-enhancing polymer may be in physical contact with each other or in close proximity but without the necessity of being physically mixed. For example, the solid composition may be in the form of a multi-layer tablet, as known in the art, wherein one or more layers comprises the solubility-improved form and one or more different layers comprises the concentration-enhancing polymer. Yet another example may constitute a coated tablet wherein either the solubility-improved form of the drug or the concentration-enhancing polymer or both may be present in the tablet core and the coating may comprise the solubility-improved form or the concentration-enhancing polymer or both. Alternatively, the combination can be in the form of a simple dry physical mixture

Art Unit: 1618

wherein both the solubility-improved form and concentration-enhancing polymer are mixed in particulate form and wherein the particles of each, regardless of size, retain the same individual physical properties that they exhibit in bulk. Any conventional method used to mix the polymer and drug together such as physical mixing and dry or wet granulation, which does not substantially convert the drug and polymer to a molecular dispersion, may be used.” Thus, contrary applicants’ assertion, coatings are not excluded by the combination or the physical mixing does not exclude coating. Maximum drug concentration (claim 1), which is the area under the curve (claim 30) is a property of the composition and in this case the composition is generic to a combination of drug and polymer (concentration-enhancing polymer). Examiner has not juxtaposed Okada on applicants’ specification. Rather, the claims are directed to broad subject matter of drug combined with any of the polymers recited.

5. Claims 1, 30, 58, 86, 126 and 156-161 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bymaster et al. (US 6,147,072).

Bymaster discloses treating psychosis, acute mania, mild anxiety states or depression by administering to a patient in need thereof a composition that comprises a first component drug selected from olanzapine, clozapine, risperidone, sertindole, quetiapine and ziprasidone, and a second component (abstract; column 1, lines 42-46; column 2, line 9-51; and claim 2), and the composition is formulated as tablets, chewable tablets, capsules, solutions, intranasal sprays or powders, troches, suppositories, transdermal patches and suspensions (column 10, lines 8-12) and polymers such as hydroxypropyl methylcellulose phthalate and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose acetate succinate are associated with the drug (column 10, lines 61-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 146, 147, 151-155, 162 and 163 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bymaster et al. (US 6,147,072).

Bymaster is discussed above. The difference between Bymaster and the instant claims is that Bymaster does not disclose the drug-polymer particles range in sizes of from about 10 to about 1000 nanometers. However, there is no demonstration that particles having sizes of from about 10 to 1000 nanometers provides unusual results. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare the composition of Bymaster where the drug and the polymer are associated with the expectation of delivering effective amounts of the drugs to effectively treat the targeted condition.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed 05/11/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Physical mixing of polymers (some of which are enteric) with a drug does not exclude enteric-coated dosage form. There is no chemical reaction in the coating of a dosage form. Coating process is a physical process and as is directed in applicants' specification at page 10, line 23 to page 11, line 12 of the instant specification and paragraph [0029], coated tablet and multi-layered tablet are permitted by the combination. Therefore, Bymaster anticipates and renders obvious the designated claims.

8. Claims 87, 92, 95, 102, 105-107, 112, 115, 122, 124-127, 132, 135 and 142-145 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US 4,461,759).

Art Unit: 1618

Dunn is discussed above. Dunn discloses a composition where the drug verapamil and cellulose acetate phthalate are granulated. Dunn does not discuss administering the verapamil composition to a subject in need thereof. Verapamil is a cardiovascular drug and the drug composition has to be administered in order for it to provide cardiovascular positive effect in a subject. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare the cardiovascular composition comprising verapamil. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to administer the verapamil formulation to a subject in need thereof with the expectation of treating cardiovascular problems such as irregular heartbeats (arrhythmias) and high blood pressure.

9. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-0594.

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blessing Fubara
Patent Examiner
Tech. Center 1600

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Blessing Fubara", written over the printed name.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1-15,18-44,47-72,75-92,95-102,104-112,115-122,124-132 and 135-163.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 3-11,19-24,32-40,48-53,60-68,76-81,88-91,96-101,108-111,116-121,128-131,136-141 and 148-150.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,2,12-15,18,25-31,41-44,47,54-59,69-72,75,82-87,92,95,102,104-107,112,115,122,124-127,132,135,142-147 and 151-163..